UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,691	08/08/2006	Noriyuki Asahara	HEI-018	3516
32628 7590 11/26/2008 KANESAKA BERNER AND PARTNERS LLP 1700 DIAGONAL RD			EXAMINER	
			ADDISU, SARA	
SUITE 310 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2848			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/583,691	ASAHARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SARA ADDISU	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Seply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) □ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examinum 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2006 and 26 Examiner.		epted or b)⊡ objected to by the
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least complex com	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application ·

Application/Control Number: 10/583,691 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 6/26/08. Currently, claims 1-11 are pending in this application.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/583,727.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claim 1 of the instant invention is the same as claim 1 and part of claim 6
 of the copending application 10/583,727.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,691 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

 Claim 2 of the instant invention is the same as claim 2 of the copending application 10/583,727.

- Claim 3 of the instant invention is the same as claim 3 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 4 of the instant invention is the same as claim 4 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 5 of the instant invention is the same as claim 5 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 6 of the instant invention is the same as part of claim 6 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 7 of the instant invention is the same as claim 9 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 8 of the instant invention is the same as claim 8 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 9 of the instant invention is the same as claim 10 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 10 of the instant invention is the same as claim 11 of the copending application 10/583,727.
- Claim 11 of the instant invention is the same as claim 12 of the copending application 10/583,727.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/583,691 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Addisu at (571) 272-6082. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sara Addisu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 11/23/08

/Boyer D. Ashley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724